

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/964,690	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Holly Rickman	1773	

All Participants:

(1) Holly Rickman.

(2) Raj Davé.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103 in view of *Bian et al.* (US 6174582)

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

Bian and Wong et al. (US 6558811)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Davé agreed to an examiner's amendment to put the case in condition for allowance..

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)